200200004

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Unibersity of Georgia Research Youndation, Inc. (UÇARI) and Unibersity of Ilorida Agricultural Experiment Station (UJAES)

MICCOS, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR THESE PROPAGATED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARLS) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITIORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS, OMSELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, ONDIFFICANTING IT FOR ANY OF THE ABOVE PURPOSE; OR USING IT IN NO A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY.

ON ACI" (84 STAT: 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT, COMMON

'Dawson'

In Testimon Therest, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Hint Harreto Hericton Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this twentieth day of September, in the year two thousand two.

Mark

GCM. J. Commissioner

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service reman

Secretary i

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE

The following statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995.

Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection; certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).

(See reverse for instructions and information collection burden statement)

APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE (Instructions and information collection burden statement on reverse)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····		-/ ·			* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
UNIDESTY Florida Agricult	orgia Research Fo ural Experiemnt S	tation	on, Inc.	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNA EXPERIMENTAL NAME 91426E39	TION OR	3. VARIETY NAME Dawson	5-16.		
University of Georgia 632 Boyd Graduate Stu	Research Foundat	**	nc.	5. TELEPHONE (include and 706-542-4750	ea code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE OF PVPO NUMBER	SHEY		
Athens, GA 30602-7411 Attention: John Ingle	<u>)</u>			6. FAX (include area code) 706-583-0074		2002000	4		
7. IF THE OWNER NAMED IS NOT A "PERS ORGANIZATION (corporation, partnership Corporation		Geor		9. DATE OF INCORPORATION 11/17/78	ION	October 4, 20	Σl		
University of Georgia Florida Agricultural E c/o John Ingle 632 Boyd Grad Studies Athens, GA 30602-7411	Research Foundati xperiment Station	on. In	ON. (First person listed will red	ceive all papers)	FLING AND EXAMINATEES: \$ 2.705.00 DATE 1014/2 CERTIFICATION FEE: \$ 3.00 DATE - 100.00)			
11. TELEPHONE (Include area code) (706) 542-4750	12. FAX (Include area code) (706) 583-0074		з E-ман ji@ovpr.uga	E-MAIL ji@ovpr.uga.edu t4. CROP KIND (Common I) Wheat, common					
15. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME OF CROP Triticum aestivum		1	6. FAMILYNAME <i>(Botanica</i> Gramineae	0	_	E VARIETY A FIRST GENER ID?			
18. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH reverse) a. DX Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding h b. DX Exhibit B. Statement of Distincts c. DX Exhibit C. Objective Description d. DX Exhibit D. Additional Description e. DX Exhibit E. Statement of the Bask	listory of the Variety less of Variety of the Variety (<i>Optional</i>)	nstructions on	CERTIFIED S	ES (If "yes", answer items 20 and 21 below) MNER SPECIFY THAT SEED O IMITED AS TO NUMBER OF C	OF THIS CLASSES?	NEW PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS O	OF 2) NO		
repositoryy	itreated seeds or, for tuber propagated be deposited and maintained in an ap 05), made payable to "Treasurer of the Protection Office)		VARIETY BE LI IF YES, SPECII NUMBER 1,2,3	21. DOES THE OWNER SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? IF YES, SPECIFY THE FOUNDATION REGISTERED CERTIFIED NUMBER 1,2,3, etc. (If additional explanation is necessary, please use the space indicated on the reverse.)					
22. HAS THE VARIETY (INCLUDING ANY HAR FROM THIS VARIETY BEEN SOLD, DISPO OTHER COUNTRIES? YES IF YES, YOU MUST PROVIDE THE DATE FOR EACH COUNTRY AND THE CIRCUM	NO NO		OR 23. IS THE VARIET PROPERTY RIG	TY OR ANY COMPONENT OF GHT (PLANT BREEDER'S RIG	THE VARIET HT OR PATE	Y PROTECTED BY INTELLECENTY?	CTUAL		
24. The owners declare that a viable sample of I for a tuber propagated variety a tissue cultur. The undersigned owner(s) is(are) the owner and is entitled to protection under the provisi. Owner(s) is(are) informed that false represer.	pasic seed of the variety will be furnish e will be deposited in a public reposite of this sexually reproduced or tuber pr ons of Section 42 of the Plant Variety I	ed with applic ory and mainte ropagated plat Protection Act	ation and will be replenished a sined for the duration of the co nt variety, and believe(s) that i.	upon request in accordance wit	h such regul	alions as may be applicable, o	Γ		
SIGNATURE OF OWNER Oorden Votte NAME (Please print or type)			SIGNATURE OF OV	***************************************			**************************************		
Gordhan L. Patel	·		NAME (Please print (or type)	outst. V. a	rayon course			
Executive Vice Preside	nt large	101	CAPACITY OR TITLE	DATE					
S&T-470 (84-81) designed by the Plant Variety Prob	ection Office with WordPerfect 6.0a. F	replaces STD	-470 (02-99) which is obsolete	e. (See reverse for instru	ictions and in	Nomation collection humbra en	eta vicioniti		

Exhibit A

Origin and Breeding History of 91426E39 'Dawsow'

M94 5-16-2002

'91426E39', a soft red winter wheat (<u>Triticum aestivum L.</u>), was cooperatively developed and released by the Georgia and Florida Agricultural Experiment Stations in 2001. 91426E39 was derived from a single cross, GA 841474/ GA-Stuckey. The final cross was made in the spring of 1991. GA 841474 is a Gerogia experimental line with the pedigree, Coker 797/Oasis//Saluda/Coker 916. GA-Stuckey is an early-maturing cultivar with good powdery mildew and adult leaf rust resistance.

Individual spike selections were made in the F2 to F6 generations at Griffin, GA. The pedigree method of breeding was used to advance the segregating populations. In 1997, a headrow was harvested for preliminary evaluations. Agronomic evaluations were conducted in 1998 in Elite Nursery trials and during 1999 and 2000 in the Small Grain State Performance trials for Georgia. In 1999, it was evaluated in a regional trial (7 locations). In 2000, it was also evaluated in the Uniform Southern Wheat Nursery (27 locations).

The F1 was grown in the field during the 1992 season. The population was advanced from the F2 through F5 generations using the pedigree method of breeding with individual spikes selected for resistance to leaf rust (caused by Puccinia recondita (Roberge ex Desmaz), powdery mildew (caused by Erysiphe graminis DC. f. sp. tritici Em. Marchal), and septoria nodorum blotch (caused by Stagonospora nodorum (Berk) Castellani & E.G. Germano). Spikes were harvested, threshed individually and planted in single 1 meter headrows and were advanced to the next generation during the F2:3-, F3:4-, and F4:5-derived lines at Griffin, GA. 91426E39 is the F5:derived head row selected and advanced to Breeder seed which was produced in 2001 in the F10 generation.

91426E39 was evaluated for agronomic performance in nursery plots in 1997, GA-FL state trials at five locations from 1998 to 2000, and in the Uniform Southern Soft Red Winter Wheat Nursery at 25 locations in 2000.

A increase strip of 91426E39 was planted in 1998 from a small increase plot and was rogued thoroughly for aberrant types. Seeds from this increase strip was planted in a Increase block (2 acres) at the Foundation Seed Farm in 2000 at Plains and rogued to remove variants. 91426E39 has been observed for 3 generations of

reproduction and during seed increase period and is stable and uniform. The variant consists of 1/10,000 awned types which is commercially acceptable and predictable.

MAH 2002

This Breeder seed of 91426E39 was provided to the Georgia Seed Development Commission and will be the source of future seed multiplications. Breeder seed of 91426E39 will be maintained by the Georgia Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Georgia, Georgia Station, Griffin, GA 30223-1797.

Exhibit B

Novelty Statement

Dawson'
91426E39 is a soft red winter wheat, awnless, and white chaffed.
91426E39 is most similar in appearance to GA-Stuckey. 91426E39
has a oblong head shape and the absent of hairness at last
internode of rachis while GA-Stuckey has a tapering head shape
and the present of hairness at the rachis.

C

(Wheat)

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE SCIENCE DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY WHEAT (Triticum spp.)

		· 			
NAME OF APPLICANTO	S)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ON	LY—		
University of	Georgia Research Foundation, Inc.	PVPO NUMBER 2002,0004			
	or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)	VARIETY NAME	<u> </u>		
Boyd Graduate		1	MAI		
University of Athens, GA 306		Dawson Dawson	<u> 5</u> [10		
Actions, on 500		TEMPORARY OR EXPERIMENTAL	_ , ,		
		DESIGNATION			
		91426E39	البغاست		
Place a zero la the first box besed on a minimum of 100	IRUCTIONS CAREFULLY: Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal (e.g. or) when number is either 99 or less or 9 or less respectively, 0 plants. Comparative data should be determined from varieties entered in the same to determine plant colors; designate system used:	Data for quantitutive plant characters should be	ed		
	stions for your variety; lack of response may delay progress of your at	plication.			
1. KIND:					
1	1=Common 2=Durum 3=Club 4=Other (SPECIF)	Y)			
2. VERNALIZATIO	N:				
2	1=Spring 2=Winter 3=Other (SPECIFY)				
3. COLEOPTILE AN	NTHOCYANIN:				
-	1=Absent 2=Present				
	1-Apsent 2-Fresent				
4. JUVENILE PLAN	T GROWTH:				
2	1=Prostrate 2=Somi-erect 3=Erect				
, PLANT COLOR ((boot stage):		_		
1	1 = Yellow-Green 2 = Green 3 = Blue-Green				
S. FLAG LEAF (boo	t stage):				
2	1 = Erect 2 = Recurved Z	1 = Not Twisted 2 = Twisted	13-21-		
. EAR EMERGENC	1E:				
0 2	Number of Days Earlier Than AGS 2000		+		
0 4	Number of Days Later Than Fleming		+		
ANTHER COLOR					
1	1 = YELLOW 2 = PURPLE				
. PLANT HEIGHT	(from soil to top of head, excluding awas):				
	cm Taller Than Fleming		<u>.</u>		
0 3	AGS 2000				
0 5	cm Shorter Than		*		

_		-6-
10.	STEM: A. ANTHOCYANIN	-
	2 1= Absent 2=Present	
	B. WAXY BLOOM 1=Absent 2=Present	
	C. HAIRINESS (last internode of rachis)	
	1=Absent 2=Present	
	D. INTERNODE (SPECIFY NUMBER)	
	1-Hallow 2-Semi-solid 3-Solid	
	E. PEDUNCLE 1=Absent 2=Present	
	31 cm Length	
11.	TEAD (at Maturity):	
	A. DENSITY 2 1=Lax 2=Middonse 3= Densc	
	R. SHAPE 1 = Tapering 2= Strap 3 = Clavate 4 = Other (SPECIFY) Oblong	
	4	
	C. CURVATURE 3 1 = Erect 2 = Inclined 3 = Recurved	
	D. AWNEDNESS	
	2 1 = Awnless 2 = Apically Awnletted 3 = Awnletted 4 = Awned	
2. (LUMES (at Maturity):	
	A. COLOR 1 = White 2 = Tan 3 = Other (SPECTFY)	
	B. SHOULDER	
	3 1 = Wanting 2 = Oblique 3 = Rounded 4 = Square 5 = Elevated 6 = Apiculate	
	C. BEAK 2 1 = Obtuse 2 = Acute 3 = Acuminate	
٠	D. LENGTH 2 = Medium (ca. 8mm) 3 = Long (ca. 9mm)	
	E. WIDTH [3] 1 = Narrow (ca. 3mm) 2 = Medium (ca. 3.5mm) 3 = Wide (ca. 4mm)	
3. S	ED:	
	A. SHAPE 1 = Ovate 2 = Oval 3 = Elliptical	
	B. CHEEK 1=Rounded 2=Angular	
	C. BRUSH 1=Short 2=Medium 3=Long 1 1 = Not Collared 2 = Collared	
	D. CREASE	
	2 1 = Width 60% or less of Kernel 2 = Width 80% or less of Kernel 3 = Width Nearly as Wide as Kernel 2 = Depth 20% or less of Kernel 2 = Depth 35% or less of Kernel 3 = Depth 50% or less of Kernel 3 = Depth 50% or less of Kernel	

	Z00 Z00004
SEED: (continued) E. COLOR 3 1=White 2=Amber 3=Rec F. TEXTURE 2 1=Hard 2=Soft	
G. PHENOL REACTION (see Instructions): 3 1 = Ivory 2 = Fawn 3 = Light	Brown 4 = Dark Brown 5 = Black
DISEASE: (0=Not Tested; 1=Susceptible; 2= PLEASE INDICATE THE	Resistant; 3=Intermediate; 4=Tolerant) SPECIFIC RACE OR STRAIN TESTED
Stem Rust (Pucchia graminis £ sp. tritici)	Leaf Rust (Puccinia recondita L sp. tritici) 2 MBGQ MCGY LCBQ LBBQ THDL
Stripe Rust (Puccinia striformis) 3 (Field)	1-CLBL, TNRL, PLML Loese Smut (Usillago tritici)
Tan Spot (Pyrenophora tritici-repentis)	Flag Smut (Urocystis agropyri)
Halo Spot (Selenophoma donacis)	Common Bunt (Tilletia tritici or T. laevis)
Septoria nodorum (Glume Blotch) [3] (Field)	Dwarf Bunt (Tilletia controversa)
Septoria avenae (Speckled Leaf Disease)	Karnal Bunt (Tilletia indica)
Septoria tritici (Speckled Leaf Blotch)	Powdery Mildew (Erysiphe graminis S. sp. tritici) 2 #144, #9
Scab (Fusarium spp.)	1- NKIN91, 156b1 "Snow Molds"
"Black Point" (Kernel Smudge)	Common Root Rot (Fusarium, Cochliobolus and Bipolaris app.)
Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus (BYDV)	Rhizoctonia Root Rot (Rhizoctonia solani)
Sollborne Mosaic Virus (SBMV)	Black Chaff (Xanthomonas campestris pv. translucens)
Wheat Yellow (Spindle Streak) Mosaic Virus	Bacterial Leaf Blight (Pseudomonus syringue pv. syringue)
Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus (WSMV)	Other (SPECIFY)
Other (SPECIFY)	Other (SPECIFY)
Other (SPECIFY)	Other (SPECIFY)
Other (SPECIFY)	Other (SPECIFY)

					Expline - qui ical	Lace.
(0=Not Tested;	1=Susceptible;	2=Resistant;	3=Intermediate;	4=Tolerant)		
	PLEASE S	SPECIFY BIOT	YPE (where needed)			
n Fly <i>(Mayetiola destr</i>		_				
GP, D, E		<u> </u>	 .			
awity (Cephus spp.)		Г				
Leaf Beetle (Oulema		Ţ	er (SPECIFY)			
a Aphid <i>(Diuraphis n</i>	oxla)	Oth				
ng (Schlzaphis gramii			 1			_
		_ Oth				_
				Other (SPECIFY)	Other (SPECIFY)	Other (SPECIFY)

Exhibit D

Additional Description of 91426E39 Dawson

114 5/16/2002

91426E39 is a common soft red winter wheat, *Triticum aestivum* L. bred and developed by the University of Georgia, Georgia Agricultural Experiment Stations and developed jointly by Jerry W. Johnson and Ron D. Barnett with the University of Florida, Florida Agricultural Experimental Station.

91426E39 is a medium maturing, high yielding, high test weight, awnless wheat with resistant to current races of leaf rust, Puccinia recondita (Roberge ex Desmaz), resistant to predominant biotypes (biotype GP, D, E) of Hessian flies, (Mayetiola destructor (Say), and moderately resistant to powdery mildew, (Erysiphe graminis DC. f. sp. tritici Em. Marchal) in Georgia. In comparison to GA-Stuckey, 91426E39 is resistant (9,10,+) to leaf rust races, MBGQ, MCGL, LCBQ, LBBQ, THDL, and FCMQ while GA-Stuckey is susceptible (no seedling) to all these specific races in the seedling stage.

Milling and baking quality characteristics of 91426E39 are rated as acceptable for soft red winter wheat use by the USDA-Soft Wheat Quality Laboratory, Wooster, OH. Information on the milling and baking quality characteristics is also included in a quality report. Additional information is presented in attached Exhibit.

LEAF RUST

Seedling reaction of entries of the 1999-2000 Uniform Southern Soft Red Wheat Performance Nursery to selected isolates of Puccinia tritici f. sp. tritici (D.L. Long, USDA-ARS, Cereal Disease Laboratory, 1551 Lindig Street, St. Paul,

Reactions produced by NA race*

		T			·	Γ				1	7	Dookulatad
No	. Cultivar or Line	MBG	CLBL	MCGL	LCBQ	LBBC	THOL	TNRL	TLGG	PLML	FCM	Postulated Genes***
	Coker 9835	0;	1:	[;	;	:	1:	3	3	:		2a,9,11
2	Coker 9663	0;	:	;	:	:		3		1	;	9,10,11
3	Mason	J . ,	;	:	:	:	1:	3	3	3	 	9,+
4	AGS 2000	0;	:	3	:	;		:	-	:	-	10,26,+
5	SC921285	;1c	;-3	;-2	;2	,2	;1c	;1c	:1c	3;	3;	+
6	SC921299	;2c	;1c	;2	;1c	:1c	;1c	;2c	;1c	3;	3;	+
7	SC9412192	;	;	3	;	;-3;	3	;1c				1,10,26
8	LA90115C25-3-6-2	1 ;		; [:		3		'	÷	9,10,11
9	LA90518PB43-3-1-4	3;	;1c	;1c	:	;1c		•	;1c		;1c	+
10	LA8983B14-3-1-4		;	;	: 1	:			3			2a,9,18+
11	LA90185G-1-3-4-2	;	;	;		:		3	3	3	:	9,+
12	VA96W-270	;1c	;	3	;1c	;1c	:1c	;1c		;1c	32;	11,26,+
13	VA97W-206	3	;	;2	;1	3;	;2	3	3	3;	 ;	1,11,18
14	VA98W-593	;	;1c	;	: 1	:	:	3;	;	-;		11,24,+
15	AW-M96*4403	3	;	3;	:	:	;3	3	;1c	;2c	;2c	10,11,+
16	AW-D97-6750	3	0;	;1c	3	3	1c		;-3	;2c	3	10,18,+
17	AW-M94*1626-7	;	;1c	:	:	;	' :	;2c	•	;	;	+
18	NC96-13155	;		;1	;		;1c	3	;1c2	;		11,24+
19	NC96-13965		;		:		;	;2	;1c			+
20	B950590	• ;	. ;]	;	:	:	3	3	;1	:	:	2a,10,+
21	B950904	;			; -	;	;1c	:	3	:	;1c	2a,11,18
22	B950943	;		;	;	;	:	;		:	:	+
23	TX96D1320	;		:	;	: 1		3	:	3	-	9,10+
24	TX97D4556	:	;	;	;	;	:	3	: 1	3	:	9,10+
25	TX97D6719		:	:	:	:	:	3	3	:	'	2a,11,+
26	TX97D6737	;	;-3	;	;	: 1	;1c	3		3		9,10,11
27	TX91-27	;	:	;	: 1		:	3		-		2a,10,11
28	TX91-57	3	;	;1	;	:1c	;1c	;1c	;1c		;1c	11,18
29	AR656-5-1	;	;	;	:		3-:1c	3		: 1	1.0	2a,10,11
30	AR647-1-6	3	;1c	;1c	3	3	:1c	: 1		3-;	3	18,+
31.	GA90552AE33	;	:	;	:	;	1c	;1c		;	;1c	+
32	GA93059LE6		0;	-	:	: †		;1c			,	+
33	GA91426E30 'Dawsou'	;	3	;	;	;	0;	3	;3	3	: 1	9,10,+

MAH 5-16-02

**Vir lence

MBGQ = Lr1,3,10,11,18 CLLB, Lr3,3ka,9 MCGL = Lr1,3,10,11,26 LCBQ = Lr1,10,18,26 LBBQ = Lr1,10,18

THDL = Lr1,2a,2c,3,10,16,17,26 TNRL = Lr1,2a,2c,3,3ka,9,10,11,24,30

TLGG = Lr1,2a,2c,3,9,11,18 PLML = Lr1,2c,3,3ka,9,10,30 FCMQ = Lr2c,3,3ka,10 6

^{*} Single genes tested = 1, 2a, 2c, 3, 3ka, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 24, 26, 30

^{*** +=} Lr gene(s) present but unable to identify with these Lr virulence combinations

POWDERY MILDEW

Axminster Pm	11	As			in91 V		+			-	43a1	156b	Postulated Resistance Ge	nes	Effective Genes	Isolates
Orestis Pm2	<u>''</u>	R				s	S	-1-		R	R	R			Pm1	156b1,#9
Asosan Pm3a		s		1 -		s	R		-	s	S	_ <u>s</u>			Pm2	144, Aso, 12
Chul Pm3b	<u> </u>	M	S	 		R	RA		 	M	S	S			Pm3a	W72-27, 144
Sonora Pm3c		S	M	1 A		M	M		 -		RM	S			Pm3b	#9, W72-27
Yuma Pm4a		S	S	S		s	s	-5		s	s	s			Pm4a	W72-27, WKins
Ronos Pm4b		1		R		R	S	S		s	S	s			Pm4b, Pm1	43a1, 156b1
CI 14125 Pm5		M S	M	M		M	S	I N			R	R			Pm8	156b1, W72-2
C747 Pm6		 	S	S		s	S	S			s	<u> </u>			Pm12	Aso, E3-25
Transec Pm7		s	S	s		<u>s</u>	S	S			s	_s_			Pm17, Pm12	E3-25
		S	S	S		M	s	s	5		s	S				20-20
Kavkaz Pm8		RM	S	M		R	S	S	S		S	R				
Pm12		R	R	R	- 	R	R	R	R		₹	R		7		
Pm16		R	s	s		R	R	R	R	1	₹ .	R				
Amigo Pm17		R	M	М		И	S	S	R	٨	1	MS		_		
Mich Amber	-	s	s	s		5	S	s	s		: T	s				
Chancellor	-	s	s	RS		3	s	s	s			s		1		
C 9835	_	s	MS	s	_ f	₹	s	MS	MS			MS	N/A	+		
C9663	1	S	MS	s	F	1	R	R	s	S		М	Pm3a			
MASON	4	s	s	s	F		R	R	М	s	7	s	Pm3a			
GS 2000	_	R	R	R	F		R	R	RM	R	T	RF	m1*, Pm2, Pm3a, Pm3b*, Pr	nda i	Provide the second	2 0-42
C921285	F	₹M	RM	М	F		R	R	R	R		R F	m1, Pm2*,Pm3a, Pm3b, Pm	th Pr	n8 Pm12* 017	4, PM17
C921299	1	М	R	R	R	\perp	R	R	R	RN	1 F	RM F	m1*, Pm3a, Pm3b, Pm4a, Pr	ndb*	Pm8* Pm17	
9412192	٨	AS	RS	s	R		R	R	М	М		м	Pm3a		Tillo Flatt	
490115C25362	1	и	M	s	R		М	RM	RM	s		и	Pm3a*, Pm3b*			
490518PB43314	4 R	М	RS	RS	R		R	R	R	RS	1	s	Pm2*, Pm3a, Pm3b			
\8983B14314	_ :	5	М	М	RM		и	RM	RM	s	1	и	Pm3b*			
\90185G31342	1	1	s	s	s		S	s	М	s	1	3	N/A	+		
V96W-270	F	₹	М	М	RM		S .	s	М	М	N	4	N/A	+		
97W-206	5	<u>: </u>	s	s	R	- 1	₹	R	М	s	1	;	Pm3a	+-		
98W-593	RI	VI F	RM	RM	R	ı	1 F	M	R	MS	R	V Pr	13a", Pm3b, Pm4a*,Pm8*, Pr	n12*	Den 17*	
V-M96*4403	s		s	s	М			s	s	s	S		N/A	Τ,	FILL?	
/-D97-6750	M	<u> </u>	S	·s	R	F		R	М	s	s		Pm3a	+-		
/-M94°1626-7	М		s	S	MS	S	1	4	М	s	ş	_	N/A	-		
96-13155	R	:	3	s	s	s		₹	R	R	R	\top	Pm1, Pm4b	+		
96-13965	R	3	3	s	RM	s	1	₹	R	R		(P	m1), Pm3a*, Pm3b*, (Pm4b)	╁		
0590	М			s	R	s	1	1	R	s	s	+	Pm3b	-		
0904	М			s	R	М	R	u	R	s	s	\top	Pm3a*, Pm3b	 	·	
0943	М	5		s	R	R	F		R	s	s	_	Pm3a, Pm3b	├		
6D1320	М	S		S	RS	s	s		₹	s	s		N/A	 —		
7D4556	М	s		s	М	ş	s	TR	М	s	s	+	N/A	-		
7D6719	М	s	-	s	R	RM	R		₹	s	s	 -	Pm3a, Pm3b	 		
7D6737	М	S	i	s	R	s	s	F	₹	s	s	+-	Pm3b			
1-27	s	s		s	R	1	R	R	м	s	s		Pm3a, Pm3b*			
1-57	s	s	_	s	-	-	R	F	.	s	s	 	(Pm3a), (Pm3b)			
56-5-1	R	RM	1 -	s		-	R	F		s	s	+-				
17-1-6	М	s		3		Γ.	s	S		s	s	+-	(Pm2), (Pm3a), (Pm3b) N/A			
0552AE33	м	RS	3	3	•	· .	R	s		s	- S	+	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			~
059LE6	.	R		;	-		s	s		_	R	(Pm	(Pm3a) 4b), (Pm8), (Pm12), (Pm17)			
426E39		MS	5	:			R	R۸	-		s	12-11	(Pm2*), (Pm3a*)			

, D UMSON,

MAN 02

Note: Genes postulated by applying gene for gene analysis; a more complete determination will involve pedigree analysis.

''Denotes mixed

HESSIAN FLY

W.Lafayette
IN

•		Biotype GP	Biotype	Biotype	Biotype	Biotype
. 1	Coker 9835	14 - 0	в 0 - 17	C 4:7 0	D	E
2	Coker 9663	3 - 11	0 - 12	0 - 17	0 - 17	14 - 0
3	Mason	0 - 18	0 - 12	3 - 8	0 - 10	0 - 12
1 4	AGS 2000	0 - 17	0 - 13	0 - 17	0-10	0 - 15
. 5	SC921285	0 - 15	0 - 15	0 - 12	0 - 14	0 - 11
6	SC921299	7 - 10	0 - 16	0 - 14	0 - 14	0 - 16
7	S9412192	0 - 15	0 - 10	9-7	0 - 14	0 - 17
8	LA9115C25-3-6-2	12 - 5	0 - 17	0 - 18 13 - 1	0 - 14	0 - 16
9:	LA90518PB43-3-1-4	16 - 4	0-16		0 - 13	12 - 1
10	LA8983B14-3-1-4	5 - 10	0 - 17	0 - 17	0 - 13	15 - 1
11	LA90185G3-1-3-4-2	0 - 15	0-14	0 - 16	0 - 12	0 - 16
12	VA96W-270	11 - 1	11 - 2	0 - 12 0 - 11	0-13	0 - 14
13	VA97W-206	0 - 15	0-14	and the contract of the contra	0 - 8	15 - 0
14	VA98W-593	0 - 16	0 - 15	0 - 13 0 - 13	0-15	0 - 17
15	AW-M96*4403	15 - 0	16-0	0 - 13 0 - 18	0 - 14	0 - 15
16	AW-D97-6750	12 - 4	0 - 14	11 - 5	0 - 12	16 - 0
17	AW-M94*1626-7	0 - 18	0 - 14	0-11	0 - 16	0 - 17
18	NC96-13155	5 - 6	0 - 11	5 - 10	0 - 10 5 - 6	0 - 14
19	NC96-13965	9-4	0 - 13	0 - 12	4-9	0 - 16
20	B950590	3 - 14	0 - 15	0 - 15	0 - 11	0-14
21	B950904	0 - 15	0-14	0-19	0 - 15	0 - 16 0 - 17
22	B950943	0 - 17	0 - 16	0 - 12	0 - 12	0 - 17 0 - 16
23	TX96D1320	10 - 5	10 - 5	0-16	0 - 12	Market a reserve to a server of the contract o
24	TX97D4556	0 - 16	0 - 14	0 - 17	0 - 14	3 - 11 0 - 14
25	TX97D6719	0 - 18	0 - 17	0 - 13	0 - 14	0 - 14 0 - 15
26	TX97D6737	0 - 16	0 - 17	0 - 14	0 - 14	0 - 16 0 - 16
27	TX91-27	0'-6'	0 - 10	0 - 7	0-8	0 - 10
	TX91-57	7 - 4	7 - 3	1 - 13	7 - 3	7 0
29 A	AR656-5-1	0 - 16	0 - 17	0 - 17	0 - 19	0 - 15
	3 R 6 A 7 - 1 - 6	15 2	A 4 4	40 -		
31 (GA90552AE33	11 - 6	0 - 15	0 - 12	0 - 15	7 - 5
-32 (3A93U59LE6	3 - 13	0 - 14	2 - フ	0 15	0 - 15
33 €	DA91426E39 Dawson'	12 - 5	0 - 18	4 - 6		10 - 2
MA	H 5/16/2002				•	· · · ·
LOCAT	TION MEANS					

ADVANCED NURSERY EVALUATION FOR SOFT WHEAT MILLING AND BAKING QUALITY

REGION 1 STD = #2503, MASON

-		=====		•		
LAI NO		ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	BAKING QUALITY SCOR	COMBINED QUALITY SCOR	MICRO T.W. LB/BU
****		STANDARD	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	60.3
250	1	Coker 9835	104.8°A	95.3 B		
250	2 2	Coker 9663	96.2 B	92.6 C	95.3 B	60.4
250	3 3	Mason	100.0 A	99.9 A	92.6 C	61.4
2504			105.5 A	106.3 A	99.9 A 105.5 A	60.3
250		SC921285	96.2 B	83,6 E	105.5 A 83.6 E	62.7
2506	** ** *** * ***		95.8 B	87.8 D	87.8 D	61.9
2507		S9412192	84.9 E	62.6 F	67.8 D 62.6 F∷	61.5
2508		LA90115C25-36-2	99.5 B	102.3 A	99.5 B	60.0
2509			103.7 A	97.1 B	97.1 B	60.0
2510	- 22 ASS		96.0 B	107.7 A	96.0 B	60.7 60.6
2511			100.9 A	100.6 A	100.6 A	61.3
2512		VA96W-270	99.4 B	92.7 C	92.7 C	60.4
2513	31 × 1.5 × 1.7 × 1.		97.0 B	95.7 B	95.7 B	61.4
2514	2.000	VA98W-593	99.9 A	83.9 E	83.9 E	64.3
2515	15	AW-M96'4403	101.8 A	104.5 A	101.8 A	61.5
2516	-11.	AW-D97-6750	100.5 A	96.2 B	96.2 B	62.8
2517	17	AW-M94'1626-7	88.6 D	91.9 C	88.6 D	62.3
2518 2519	18	NC96-13155	102.0 A	101.9 A	101.9 A	62.2
	19 ംപ	NC96-13965	104.0 A	105.3 A	104.0 A	62.4
2520 2521	20	B950590	105.0 A	107.5 A	105.0 A	60.3
2522	21	B950904	100.6 A	107.5 A	100.6 A	61.8
2523	22 23	B950943	97.5 B	93.2 C	93.2 C	61.0
2524	23 24	TX96D1320 TX97D4556	94.1 C	96.7 B	94.1 C	59.4
2525	25		90.8 C	92.9 C	90.8 C	61.5
2526 2526		TX97D6737	87.4 D	82.4 E	82.4 E	62.0
2527	27	TX91-27	102.8 A	103.0 A	102.8 A	60.7
2528		TX91-57	92.2 C	90.6 C	90.6 C	60.2
2529	29	AR656-5-1	90.3 C	89.4 D	89.4 D	60.9
2530	30	AR647-1-6	99.7 B	103.3 A	99.7 B	61.5
2531	31	GA90552AE33	102.3 A	97.9 B	97.9 B	62.4
2532	32	GA93059LE6	98.5 B	90.4 C	90.4 C	63.5
:533	33	GA91426E39 Dawson'	90.6 C	86.7 D	86.7 D	61.6
MAH			89.7 D	83.0 E	83.0 E	61.4
111445	2/16/					

ATTACHMENT I

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF ___ CULTIVARS X _ASSOCIATE CULTIVARS (Please check appropriate type of application)

- 1. Crop: Wheat
- 2. Experimental no. or name: GA 91426E39 كامادكات

MAH 5-16-2002

- 3. Pedigree and history: GA 841474/Stuckey. The final cross was made in the fall of 1991. GA 841474 is an experimental line with the pedigree, Coker 797/Oasis//Saluda/Coker 916. Stuckey is an early-maturing cultivar with good powdery mildew and leaf rust. The F1 was grown in the field during the 1992 season. Individual spike selections were made in the F2 to F6 generations at Griffin, GA. The pedigree method of breeding was used to advance the segregating populations. In 1997, a headrow was harvested for preliminary evaluations. Agronomic evaluations were conducted in 1998 in Elite Nursery trials and during 1999 and 2000 in the Small Grain State Performance trials for Georgia. In 1999, it was evaluated in a regional trial (7 locations). In 2000, it was also evaluated in the Uniform Southern Wheat Nursery (11 locations).
- 4. Description: GA 91426E39 is a high yielding, medium-maturing, awnless, white chaffed, medium stature at maturity line with good straw strength and high test weight. It matures on average 2 days earlier than AGS 2000 and 1 day earlier than USG 3209. It is resistant to currently predominant races of leaf rust, moderately susceptible to powdery mildew and resistant to biotypes of Hessian fly in Georgia.
- 5. Station(s) where developed: Georgia
- 6. Participating scientist(s): Jerry Johnson, Barry Cunfer, G. David Buntin, and Dan Bland
- 7. In what respect is the new cultivar superior to the cultivar now in use? or reasons for proposing release as an associate cultivar.

91426E39 is being proposed as an Associate Cultivar due to its yielding ability in the Mid-Atlantic States. 91426E39 is a high yielding (Tables 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 11) and a high test weight (Tables 3, 6, 9, 10, 11) line with medium maturity. In 1999, 91426E39 was equal to or better than AGS 2000 or Coker 9663 for grain yield performance in north GA but in south GA, it was lower than AGS 2000 and better than Coker 9663 (Table 2). In 2000 for the statewide average, it was equal to C9663 and lower than USG 3209 (Table 5); in south

DAWSOK

GA, it was equal to USG 3209 and better than C 9663. The state variety trial developed a late planting nursery which included USG 3209 but did not included AGS 2000. AGS 2000 and USG 3209 are presently the two highest yielding varieties in GA and the Southeast. For 2-year average in the state performance trials in south Georgia (Table 8), 91426E39 yielded better than Coker 9663 and lower than USG 3209. In a regional trial (7 locations) during 1999, it ranked 6^{th} out of 65 entries for grain yield.

For test weight, 91426E39 was equal to AGS 2000 or C 9663 (59.0 lbs/bu.) which are varieties with exceptional high test weight (Tables 3, 4, 7) but had a better test weight than USG 3209 (Tables 3, 6, 9). 91426E39 possesses excellent resistance to leaf rust (Tables 4, 7, 10) which is equal to AGS 2000 and better than C 9633 or USG 3209. 91426E39 is classified as moderately resistant to powdery mildew which is better than C 9663 (Tables 4, 7, 10). 91426E39 has shown to have excellent resistance to Hessian fly (Tables 4, 7) which is equal to AGS 2000 and better than C 9663 or USG 3209.

In the Mid-Atlantic states during 1999, 91426E39 was equal to or better than the two nursery checks C 9663 and C 9835(Table 11). During 2000 in the Uniform Nursery trial at locations, 91462E39 yielded higher than C 9663 but lower than AGS 2000 (Table 12). In the Mid-Atlantic States during 2000, 91426E39 was equal to C 9663 and lower than AGS 2000 (Table 13). AGS 2000 was the highest yield variety in the Southeast during 2000.

- 8. Method of propagation: Seed
- 9. Amount of breeder seed stocks available (if applicable): 100 bu.
- 10. Amount of foundation seed stocks available (if applicable): 2000 bu.in fall 2001
- 11. Amount of cutting or bud material available for vegetatively propagated material for nursery distribution (if applicable):
- 12. Is there likely to be unusual difficulty encountered in the production of any class of seed stocks? Explain. No
- 13. Three suggested names for the cultivar: Moss, Houston, Sumter
- 14. Name approved by plant cultivar and germplasm release committee: Moss.
- 15. Form of intellectual property protection: Plant Variety Protection
- 16. Is a royalty assessment recommended: X Yes ____ No

91426E39 'Dawson' MAH 5/16/2002

RECOMMENDED BY:

A. Jeryl Johnson	B. Alust Smill
Originating Scientist	Department Head
	$\bigcap Q$
c. V	D. Ju Donten
✓ Assistant Dean	Chairperson// GAES Plant Cultivan

E. Jerry Cherry
Associate Dean for Research

APPROVED:

Dean and Director

College of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences

Table 1. Average performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars in Elite Nurseries at four locations in Georgia, 1998.

Entry	Yield Bu/A*	Test Wt. Ibs/bu ₁	Lodging %	Date Headed,	Height in
' 91426E39	57.2a	57.4	10	4/04	35
C 9835	50.5b	56.1	0 .	4/08	30
P 2684	57.9a	60.4	10	4/04	35

^{* -} Plains, Griffin, Midville, Calhoun

Table 2. Average yield performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars in State Performance Trials at five locations in 1999.

	Location											
Entry	Tifton	Plains	Midville	South	Griffin	Calhoun	North /	Average				
91426E39	52.8	64.5	29.3	48.8b	94.2	64.2	79.2a	61.0a				
AGS 2000	55.6	66.8	55.6	59.3a	98.3	48.1	73.2a	64.8a				
C 9663	45.4	39.9	37.8	41.0 c	95.6	49.4	72.5a	53.6b				

^{1 -} Plains and Griffin

'Dawson!

Table 3. Performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars in state performance trials for 1999.

MAH 2002

Entry	Test Wt. 1bs/bu	Lodging %	Date Headed	Height in
91426E39	59.0	17	4/4	35
AGS 2000	59.0	13	4/6	37
C 9663	59.4	53	4/5	39

Table 4. Average performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars in state performance trials for 1999.

Entry	Leaf Rust %	Powdery Mildew %	Hessian Fly %	
91426E39	5	30	1a	
AGS 2000	0	0	4a	
C 9663	5	80	80b	

MAH 2002

Table 5. Average yield performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars in State Performance Trials at four locations in 2000.

Location										
Entry	Tifton*	Plains*	Griffin	Ca1houn	Average					
91426E39	66.2ab	71.6a	89.8b	49.6b	69.3b					
USG 3209	70.7a	74.5a	98.1a	56.3b	74.9a					
C 9663	64.5b	62.2b	91.4b	67.5a	71.4b					

^{*}Late planted

Table 6. Performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars in state performance trials for 2000.

Entry	Test Wt. 1bs/bu	Lodging %	Date Headed	Height in
91426E39	60.5a	15	4/1	40
USG 3209	58.9b	10	4/2	37
C 9663	60.7a	11	4/1	43

MAH 5/16/2002

Table 7. Average performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars in state performance trials for 2000.

Entry	Leaf Rust %	Powdery Mildew %	Hessian Fly %
91426E39	5	0	3a
USG 3209	60	0	40b
C 9663	40	85	67c

Table 8. Average yield performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars over 2 years (1999-2000) at three locations.

		Tifto	n	Plair	s	Midville	Statewide	2-Year
Entry	1999	2000**	1999*	2000**	1999	1999	2000	Ave
91426 E39	52.8b	66.2a	64.5b	71.6a	29.3b	48.8b	68.9a	58.9b
USG3209	60.9a	70.7a	70.8a	74.5a	67.9a	66.5a	72.6a	69.6a
C9663	45.4c	64.5b	39.9c	62.2b	37.8b	41.1c	63.3b	52.2c

^{* -} High HF infestation

^{* *-} Late Planted in 2000

MAH 2002 Table 9. Average performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars over 2 years (1999-2000).

Entry	Test Wt. 1bs/bu	Lodging %	Date Headed	Height in
91426E39	59.5	17	4/03	38
USG 3209	58.4	2	4/04	36
C 9663	59.9	53	4/03	41

Table 10. Average performance of GA 91426E39 and check cultivars in a Regional Wheat Trial (7 Locations)*, 1999.

Entry	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu	Date Headed	Lodging	Height	Leaf Rust, %	Powdery Mildew,%
91426E39	70.4a	57.6a	93	22	34	11	23
C 9633	68.8ab	57.8a	94	28	36	9	35
C 9835	66.4b	55.7b	95	15	32	44	26

^{* -} FL, GA, SC, NC, VA, LA, AR (Rank 6th out of 65 entries)

174H02 3/16/02

Table 11. Average performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars in the Regional Trial for the Mid-Atlantic States, 1999.

	Yield, Bu/A				
Entry	NC	VA	SC	Average	
91426E39	74.2	71.0	65.7	70.3a	
C 9663	64.6	66.0	78.4	69.5a	
C 9835	44.7	79.1	54.7	59.6b	

Table 12. Average performance of GA 91426E39 and check cultivars in the Uniform Southern Soft Red Winter Wheat Nursery (11 Locations)+, 2000.

Entry	Yield Bu/A	Test Wt Lbs/Bu	Date Headed	Lodging	Height	Leaf Rust, %	Powdery Mildew,%
91426E39	79.5b	58.2b	96	22	37	1	2
AGS 2000	88. 4 a	59.1a	96	14	39	2	3
C 9633	69.6c	58.8b	98	31	40	2	5

+ States and (number of Locations) tested: Alabama (1), Arkansas (2), Georgia (2), Kentucky (1), Mississippi (1), North Carolina (1), Texas (2), Virginia (1).

Table 13. Average performance of 91426E39 and check cultivars in the Uniform Nursery Trial for the Mid-Atlantic States, 2000.

·	Yield, Bu/A				
Entry	NC	VA	SC _	Average	Test Wt.
91426E39	58	80	84	74.1b	59.0a
AGS 2000	69	98	84	83.6a	59.3a
C 9633	59	80	82	73.6b	59.1a

LIS DEPARTMENT OF AGREET	d edition tata na s	Marketania da 1984			
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICUL AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SEI	TURE -	Lightoducions	FOR	APPROVED N	MENG DEBI-DOSO
		Application is required in o certificals is to be respect to constitue to			
STATEMENT OF THE STATEM		certificate is to be assued to confidential until the certific	U.S.C. 2421);	≅ ir a plent variety The Intermation :	Jivlecton
STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF O		Light feeling in in this decision with	refer i falle i i fa	VSC 2420)	
University of cooking in	Enundation	Z TEMPORARY DESIGNA	JION I 3 v		
an / July July July July July July July July	· \tation	The backward of MR	keer,	ANIETYJYJAJE Tago (##)	
4 ADDRESS (Section WREAM Dry Slow and 2		91426E39		D awson -	WER 3000
University of Cappais needs.		F TELEPHONE (New Ways)	<i>illy</i> 5.67	Newson area mades	30 S
University of Georgia Research 632 Boyd Graduate Studies Build Athens Georgia 20002 744	roundation,	Inc. (706) 542-475		06) 583-0074	
Athens, Georgia 30602-7411		AND SELECTION OF A SECURITY OF			
9 Day	1		7000		
8. Does the applicant own all rights to the variety?	Mark an "X" in the	(Doronsinto L.)	2002a	o 004	.
		ppropriate block. If no, plea	se explain	XXI YES	
0 1-11-			· ·		
9. Is the applicant (individual or company) a U.S. Nat	ional or a U.S. base	Pd companie to			
		no, give nan	ne of country	XX YES	T NO
10. Is the applicant the original owner? YES					
YES YES	NO	f no, please answer <u>one</u> of t			
a. If the original rights to various		oue of t	ne following:		
a. If the original rights to variety were owned by in	dividual(s), is (are)	the original owner(s) a U.S. N	istional/-va		
YES	MO IF	no, give name of country	auonai(s)7		
b. If the original rights to	<u></u>	or a maine of country			
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a	company(ies), is (a	re) the original owner(s) a U.s	.		•
YES	NO IF	no give para es	o. based compa	ny?	
	<u> </u>	no, give name of country			
11. Additional explanation on ownership (If needed, use					
in needed, use	tne reverse for exti	a space):			
	SEE ATT	ACHED			
•					
PLEASE NOTE:					
Plant variety protection early	-				<u> </u>
Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners 1. If the rights to the variety are owned by the said to the	(not licensees) wh	o meet the following criteria.	•		
If the rights to the variety are owned by the original bree national of a country which affords similar protection to real. If the rights to the variety are consider the country of the country of the country are considered.	der, that person m	et hon Ho			
national of a country which affords similar protection to r If the rights to the variety are owned by the correction to r	nationals of the U.S.	for the same genus and spec	l of a UPOV me	ember country, or	
2. If the rights to the variety are owned by the company who nationals of a UPOV member country, or owned by nationals and species. If the applicant is an owner who is not to	ich employed the or	iginal breedor(a) #	ues.		
genus and species.	nals of a country w	hich affords similar protection	y must be U.S.	based, owned by	∌ •
. If the applicant is an owner who is not the original owner, he original breeder/owner may be the individual or compar ct for definitions	had a		- Franciscis ()	ine U.S. for the sai	me
he original breeder/owner may be the control of the	both the original ov	vner and the applicant must n	neet one of the	ahovo enite i	\$10 10 10 10
he original breeder/owner may be the individual or compar ct for definitions.	y who directed the	final breeding. See Section 4	14/-1/01	above criteria.	
Ording to the O		3. 400 00000174	(a)(2) of the P	lant Variety Protec	ction
ording to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995		the state of the s			
U.S. Department of A	581-0055. The time require sources, gathering and	ired to complete this information collection	of information unles	ss it displays a valid OME	3
ital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases provided the	ams on the basis of man	o and necoed, and comp	leting and reviewing	the collection of informat	
rial or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons otape, etc.) should contact the USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voi len Building, 14 th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9	with disabilities who requi ce and TDD). To file a co	re alternative means for communication mplaint of discrimination	, disability, political b	eliefs, sexual orientation	, or
	410 or call (202) 720-596	4 (voice and TOD), USDA is an equal of	Director, Office of Cit pportunity provides	vil Rights, Room 326-W,	
70-E (04-99) (Destroy previous editions)			> F. Official	or corproyer.	

Exhibit E Statements of Applicant's Ownership

21426E39 Dawson'

17AH 5-16-02

The variety for which plant variety protection is hereby sought is owned jointly by the University of Georgia Research Foundation, Inc. (UGARF) and the Florida Agricultural Experiment Stations, University of Florida (FAES).

Ownership by UGARF in the variety for which plant variety protection is hereby sought is based on the Patent Policy approved by the Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia on June 9, 1982, in which the Board of Regents assigned to the University of Georgia Research Foundation, Inc. all rights in intellectual property developed or created by employees at the University of Georgia, one of the universities of the University System of Georgia. Rights of novel plants varieties developed at the University of Georgia, including '91426E39', are covered by said Patent Policy. As employees of the University of Georgia, Jerry W. Johnson, Barry Cunfer, and G. David Buntin, pursuant to said Patent Policy, have assigned their rights in '91426E39' to the University of Georgia Research Foundation, Inc.

Ron Barnett and Paul Pfahler are employees of the Florida Agricultural Experiment Stations, the University of Florida.